Psychiatric

Rehabilitation Services

Quality Improvement

Report

January 1, 2022 – December 31, 2022



Table of Contents

Subject

Psychiatric Rehabilitation Services Annual Report Table of Contents

1.	Introd	uction	Page 2
2.	Demo	graphics	Page 3-8
	a.	Population served and Length of Stay	
	b.	Discharges and Admissions	
	c.	Admission and discharge diagnosis	
	d.	Mobile vs. Site Based	
3. :	Satisfact	ion Surveys	Page 8-11
	e.	PRS surveys	
	f.	External surveys	
4. :	Staff and	d Client Safety	Page 12
	g.	Consumer critical incident reports	
	h.	Employee injuries and lost or transitional duty	
5. :	Staff Are	e Our Greatest Resource	Page 13
	i.	Resignation, terminations and new hires	
	j.	CPRP achievement	
6. F	rogram	Excellence/Positive impacts	Page 13-17
	k.	Service Description	
	l.	Disposition at discharge/level of impairment	
	m.	Individual Record Review	
	n.	Telehealth/Utilization	
7. S	ummar	У	Page 17
8. 1	lext Ste	os	Page 17-18

Introduction

The purpose of the Psychiatric Rehabilitation Services (PRS) Program is to encourage individuals to envision their own life possibilities, and to be supported in realizing that vision. We call our Psychiatric Rehabilitation Program EPIC (Empowering People in Communities).

Psychiatric Rehabilitation Services is centered around the following 12 Guiding Principles:

- Person-centered approach
- Partnership between service provider and service user
- Partnership with family members and significant others
- Utilization of peer support
- Utilization of natural supports
- Strengths focus
- Focus on work and career development
- Assessments related to person chosen goals and environments
- Emphasis on goal-related skills training, resource development and environmental modifications
- Integration of treatment and rehabilitation services
- Ongoing, accessible, and coordinated services
- Empirical orientation

Psychiatric Rehabilitation Service values:

- Self-determination and empowerment
- Dignity and worth of every individual
- Optimism that everyone has the capacity to recover, learn and grow
- Wellness
- Cultural diversity
- Promotion of valued social roles and normalized environments

There is an emphasis on self-advocacy to promote and encourage the individual to be knowledgeable about their mental health diagnoses, to identify their strengths, concerns and needs. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Services has three primary goals: Recovery, Community Integration, and Quality of Life.

Demographics

Program	Total # of Clients Served		Admissions		Discharg	ges	Average Length of Stay		
	2022 2021		2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	
PRS	142	156	58	83	62	70	358	377	
Butler	63 81		26 33		25	42	482	485	
Beaver	79 75		32 50		37 28		275	214	

Discharges and Admissions - Beaver

Month	Discharges	Male	Female	Admissions	Male	Female
January	1	1	0	3	1	2
February	3	0	3	2	2	0
March	3	1	2	2	1	1
April	6	4	2	6	4	2
May	3	2	1	2	1	1
June	4	2	2	2	1	1
July	3	1	2	2	0	2
August	5	4	1	3	1	2
September	0	0	0	7	2	5
October	5	1	4	2	2	0
November	2	1	1	1	0	1
December	2	1	1	0	0	0
Totals	37	18	19	32	15	17

It is noted that in Beaver County 1 female consumer identifies as male and 1 male consumer identifies as female.

Discharges and Admissions - Butler

Month	Discharges	Male	Female	Admissions	Male	Female
January	4	2	2	0	0	0
February	1	0	1	5	2	3
March	0	0	0	3	1	2
April	2	2	0	3	1	2
May	2	0	2	4	1	3
June	2	1	1	2	1	1
July	5	2	3	2	1	1
August	0	0	0	4	3	1
September	4	3	1	1	1	0
October	0	0	0	2	2	0
November	2	1	1	0	0	0
December	3	2	1	0	0	0
Totals	25	13	12	26	13	13

Butler County has one female consumer that identifies as male.

Admission Primary Diagnosis most prevalent

Beaver / Butler

Diagnosis	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Totals
Generalized Anxiety DO	1				1			1		1			4
Dissociative Identity DO, SE	1												1
Major Depressive DO, SE	1		1				1						3
Major Depressive DO, RC		3	1	2	1	1	2	1	4		1		20
		1	1	2									

ADHD, combined	1										1
Pervasive Developmental DO, NOS	1										1
Bipolar 1 DO, most recent episode	1	1	1	1	1			1			7
				1							
Persistent Depressive DO		1									1
Bipolar DO, CE mixed severe with psychotic features			1						1		2
Schizophrenia			1						1		3
			1								
Bipolar II DO			1					1			2
Social Anxiety DO				1							1
Schizoaffective DO				1			1		1		3
Schizoaffective DO Bipolar type					1		1	1			3
ASD					1						1
Paranoid Schizophrenia						1					1
ADHD Pred. hyperactive/Impulsive							1				1
Anxiety DO due to another medical condition							1				1
Unspecified Psychosis							1				1
Other Specified Bipolar and Related DO								1			1

The chart above identifies the 3 most prevalent diagnoses of the individuals upon admission that were served in PRS. This data is used to guide the program tools, skills, and approaches that will assist individuals with achieving positive impacts and goals. It is important to note that although the identified diagnoses above in green were the most common, the program also served individuals with the additional diagnoses as noted in the above chart.

Discharge Primary Diagnosis most prevalent

Beaver / Butler

Diagnosis	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct.	Nov	Dec	Totals
Generalized Anxiety DO		1	2	2			1		1				7
Dissociative Identity DO, SE					1								1
Major Depressive DO, SE with psychotic features										1			1
Major Depressive DO, RC Unspec. /Mild. Mod/Severe)	1		1	1	1	2	1	2		1		1	14
				2	1								
Major Depressive DO, RC severe with psychotic Behavior									1	2		1	4
ADHD, combined					1				1				2
Pervasive Developmental DO, NOS							1						1
Bipolar 1 DO, most recent episode severe spec. As with psychotic beh.	1	1										2	4
Bipolar 1 DO, most recent episode, unspec. /Moderate				1		2	2						5
Persistent Depressive DO				1									1

Bipolar DO, CE mixed severe with psychotic features			1							1		2
Schizophrenia				1								1
Bipolar II DO		1			1				1			3
Unspec. Schizophrenia Spectrum and other psychotic DO								1				1
Disruptive Mood Dysregulation DO						1						1
Schizoaffective DO	1	1				1	1				1	5
Schizoaffective DO Bipolar type	2					1	2					5
Schizoaffective DO Depressive Type					1	1						2
Unspecified Depressive DO										1		1
Unspecified Psychosis										1		1
Other Specified Bipolar and Related DO										1		1

During this reporting period, the PRS program saw a high percentage of clients with a diagnosis of Major Depressive and General Anxiety Disorders being discharged. This is consistent across both counties. The PRS program collaborates with others through the discharge process to identify any additional support and resources that could be utilized.

Mobile vs. Site Based

PRS location	Mobile Units	Site Based Units	Total Units		
Beaver	4568	34504	39072		
Butler	3864	33065	36929		

The difference between site based and mobile units reflects consumers' attendance and program engagement. Site based engages multiple consumers minimally twice a day, 5 days a week through group and individual sessions. A typical site-based group can have up to 12-15 consumers. Mobile occurs less frequently and often only engages one consumer at a time. An individual engaged in mobile services is seen on average once (occasionally twice) a week.

Satisfaction Surveys

1. Initial

16 surveys were completed for initial consumers. Participation included only Beaver County.

- All 16 responders reported their services were scheduled in a timely manner.
- 100% felt that their intake appointment took place in a timely manner, that the staff were respectful.
- 15 Consumers felt during the intake process they were able to openly communicate and be honest about their feelings without judgement. 1 responded No and stated "I have these thoughts that people think I'm stupid."
- 14 consumers stated they understand their responsibilities in participating in IBHS and 2 stated they did not. One of the 2 consumers commented "How am I supposed to participate?"
- 100% stated they understand the program's responsibilities to them and their family.
- 16 consumers said they were asked for their input about strengths and needs of them and their family. This data was the same when asked if the family was part of the treatment planning and goal setting and if they knew how to file a grievance or complaint.
- 100% stated they would feel comfortable filing a complaint if they had a problem and were made aware that there are other service providers available to use if they choose.

2. PRS Consumer Outcome Survey

21 PRS specific outcome surveys were completed by consumers. All were from Beaver County.

- 14 responded to what type of program they were in and 7 skipped the question. 10 were site based and 4 were mobile.
- 16 responded that this was an initial survey and 5 completed it as a discharge survey.
- 14 stated they did not have any inpatient psychiatric admissions in the past year. 6 stated yes, they did and 3 of those were hospitalized more than once.
- When asked if they have ever had an inpatient psychiatric admission in their lifetime 17 stated yes, 3 said no and 1 skipped the question. When asked how many, responses ranged from 1 to more than 30.

- When asked if they have ever had an inpatient psychiatric admission since their admission to the psychiatric rehabilitation program, 7 responded and 14 skipped the question. Of the 7, 1 stated yes and only once and 6 stated no.
- Additional questions regarding level of interference were asked.

Question: What level of interference	Rarely	Occasion ally	Sometimes	Most of the time	All of the time
does your current mental health systems have on your ability to manage your responsibilities of daily living?	14.29%	14.29	19.05	19.05	38.10
does your current mental health symptoms have on your social interactions?	9.52%	9.52	23.81	28.57	28.57
does your current mental health symptoms have when you are working, volunteering and/or your desire to obtain employment or volunteer job?	5%	10	30	25	30
does your current mental health symptoms cause regarding involvement with educational activities?	20%	0	10	35	35
does your current mental health symptoms cause with tasks necessary to manage your mental and physical health needs?	9.52%	9.52	28.57	28.57	23.81

3. Discharge

5 discharge surveys were completed by consumers and they were from Beaver County.

- All 5 stated they were part of the discharge planning process and they felt staff helped them build a supportive network in their community.
- They all stated they understand the medication management follow-up process and that a staff member has talked to their next provider to help with the transition process.
- 4 consumers were not transitioning to another Glade Run service and 1 was remaining to continue seeing an outpatient therapist.
- 20% strongly agreed the PRS services were helpful, 40% agreed, 20% were neutral and 20% disagreed.

- 20% strongly agreed when asked if they met their goals, 20% agreed, 40% disagreed and 20% strongly disagreed.
- 20% strongly agreed their needs were met by the program, 40% agreed, 20% disagreed and 20% strongly disagreed.
- 40% strongly agreed that they would recommend Glade Run to someone else, 40% agreed, and 20% strongly disagree.
- 60% strongly agree they are confident the skills they have learned can be used in the home, community or school, 20% agree and 20% strongly disagree.

4. External Surveys

We received 15 surveys from an external source. The Butler County Consumer/Family Satisfaction Team provided us with the survey they received. 13 were for Site Based and 2 were for Mobile and they were for the time period July 2021-June 2022

Site Based:

- When asked if they knew they could choose where to get treatment, 10 stated yes, 2 said no and 1 did not respond
- All 13 stated the provider staff were respectful and friendly and once they first called they were scheduled in a timely manner. They also all stated the provider is conveniently located.
- When asked if they had a problem with the provider/site would they feel comfortable filing a complaint, 12 stated yes and 1 stated no.
- All 13 stated they were asked to participate in treatment planning goals, but only 5 actually wanted to participate and 8 did not. Of the 5 who participated 3 stated the provider involved them in planning/goals and 2 were not. For the 2 that were not involved, they stated it was because "Grandma and Aunt not getting along" and they were "out of state".
- All 13 were made aware of support services in the community and stated they are overall satisfied with services they are receiving.
- When asked how hopeful they were about their future since receiving services: 9 were Almost Always/Always Hopeful, 2 were Often Hopeful and 2 were Sometimes Hopeful.
- In the last 12 months, 12 respondents stated they were able to get the help they needed and 1 did not.
- 12 stated they were given the chance to make treatment decisions and 1 did not.
- When asked what effect the treatment they received had on the quality of their life: 8 stated much better, 4 stated a little better and 1 stated about the same. For those who stated it got better they provided examples: "More sociable and not worrying", "I learned new coping skills", "Living where she wants to, able to take care of the people she loves", "learned stuff since treatment", "New peer able to come back if you are struggling" and "Help get on structured days."
- All 13 stated staff treat them with respect regarding their cultural background and staff encourage them to do things that are meaningful to them Almost Always/Always.

- When asked if the services they are receiving are consistent with recovery-based principles 11 strongly agree and 1 agreed and 1 did not answer.
- When asked what they like about services: 7 chose "Friendly, understanding, knowledgeable and helpful", 2 chose "Keeps them grounded, sociable, and engaged in the community", Others stated "Really feel comfortable. Able to do a lot of art", "Accountability. Learn more about mental health.", "It;s really transformed my life.", and "Well-rounded, comfortable with staff and other clients."
- When asked what they dislike about services, responders said: "Stick to one topic too long", "A Lot of down time", "Getting there (riding BART)", and "Something on Saturday."
- When asked if they could improve anything they said: "Therapy animal", "More groups", and "Another day of activities."
- Consumers were asked if there was anything else they wanted to say: "They are caring and understanding", "Likes to have a peer group", "I don't know what I'd do if I didn't have EPIC", and "Close to her house."

Mobile:

- Both Respondents stated they know they can choose where to get treatment, the staff were respectful and friendly and when they first called for an appointment, services were scheduled in a timely manner.
- When asked if they had a problem would they feel comfortable filing a complaint, 1 stated yes and one said no.
- Both stated yes, they are asked to participate in treatment and goal planning and both stated they did not want their family to participate in treatment and goal planning.
- When asked if their provider made them aware of support services in the community both stated yes.
- Both respondents stated overall, they are satisfied with the services they are receiving.
- When asked how hopeful they are about their or their child's services, one responded Almost Always/Always Hopeful and one chose Often Hopeful.
- Both stated that in the last 12 months they were able to get the help they needed and they were given the chance to make treatment decisions.
- When asked what effect the treatment they received had on the quality of their life, they stated much better. When asked to provide examples they said "Everything" and "People were able to make me function more."
- Both stated the staff treat them with respect regarding cultural background and staff encourage them to do things meaningful to them.
- When asked if the services they are receiving are consistent with recovery-based principles 1 strongly agreed and the other agreed.
- When asked what they like about the services they are receiving they stated, "Very good, works with her skills" and "Very helpful, willing to listen to minor or major and offer solutions to problems."
- When asked what they would improve about the services they responded, "More services, more one on one time" and "More cooking groups."

Staff and Client Safety

Staff and client safety are a focus of the Quality Improvement team and initiatives. Our agency wide plan targets increased training, safety initiatives and efforts are reviewed by the internal safety committee. The charts below review staff work related injuries and client critical incidents. It is worth noting that there was only one staff injury that resulted in the loss of work days and transitional duty. The illness was COVID related. Additionally, in 2022, less than 6% of clients experienced a critical incident compared to just under 10% in 2021. This is significant in that this program serves a high-risk population.

Employee Work Related Injuries January 1, 2022 - December 31, 2022

Location	# of Reported Injuries	# of Injuries Resulting in Lost Work Days	# of Total Lost Days	# of Injuries Resulting in Transitional Duty	# of Transitional Duty Days
PRS	1	1	63	1	18

Client Critical Incidents

Program	Total # of Incidents	Total # of Consumers	Police Involvement	Hospital/ Medical	Psychiatric Involuntary	Psychiatric voluntary	Suicide attempt	EWC-ER visit	Rape
PRS 1/1/22- 12/31/22	8	142 (8 clients)	1	5	1	1	0	0	0
1/1/21- 12/31/21	25	156 (15 clients)	0	6	4	10	3	1	1

The data indicates a decrease in critical incidents from 2021 to 2022. It is our assumption this is a direct correlation to the stress and isolation as a result of COVID 19. Data may be skewed due to the reporting process when consumers receive multiple services. When analyzed 2021 Critical incidents were at times entered twice. Some consumers had critical incidents that were listed as multiple programs and PRS was not primary so they did not pull on reports, also some CI under QI were not logged at all but the forms were attached under Incident reports.

Staff Are Our Greatest Resource

PRS Staff Turnover Rate

CLINICAL/ PROGRAM STAFF	Employees at Start date	New Hires	Resignations/ Terminations	Employees at end date	Turnover Rate
1/1/22-12/31/22	8	7	5	10	62.5%
1/1/21-12/31/21	8	3	3	8	37.5%

Over the last year the program experienced significant staff turnover including two senior staff (CPRP certified) one who retired and one who resigned for different employment opportunities. Hiring qualified staff and staying in compliance was a challenge. Consequently, the program has undergone a period of transition and restructuring. This as well as the high turnover rate in direct care service speak to the notable increase in turnover rate between 2021 and 2022.

During the last quarter of 2022 we have worked to increase staff salaries and initiate individual professional development plans. Each staff development plan is designed to support staff's professional goals and work toward CPRP certification. Assuring that all staff are working toward or have their CPRP helps the program stay in compliance when staff leave.

PROGRAM EXCELLENCE/POSITIVE IMPACTS

Service Description

The Glade Run Psychiatric Rehabilitation Service (PRS) program is called EPIC (Empowering People In Communities). The program offers both site based and mobile options to meet the individual needs of the adult. The EPIC PRS will incorporate individual self-help approaches that allow for the individual to retain control over their own lives. The individual will be actively involved in all aspects of planning, setting their own goals and deciding what services they will receive. The program services will provide a variety of opportunities to achieve identified Individual Recovery Plan (IRP) goals through individual, group and/or milieu activities.

EPIC will be utilizing Illness Management and Recovery, a psychiatric rehabilitation evidence--based practice that is designed to empower people who have serious mental illnesses to understand and manage their illnesses effectively. During a series of four daily group sessions, psychiatric rehabilitation staff will assist the individual in developing their own tailored strategies for coping with their illness, constructing their own goals for recovery and playing an integral role in decision-making about their treatment. Nine topic areas are covered in the program: (1) teaching recovery strategies, (2) practical facts about mental illness, (3) the stressvulnerability model and treatment strategies, (4) building social support, (5) reducing relapses, (6) using medications effectively, (7) coping with stress, (8) coping with problems and symptoms, and (9) getting your needs met in the mental health system. Practitioners use a variety of techniques to accomplish these goals, such as cognitive-behavioral, educational and motivational strategies. A SAMHSA toolkit has been developed for this practice. We will also use the Mental Health Recovery Star tool that will allow for measurement of outcomes as it enables people using services to measure their own recovery progress with the assistance of their identified supports.

EPIC will also use curriculum targeted at the following needs and skills: Educational and Career Planning, Daily Living, Housing and Money Management, Self-Care, Social Relationships and Work Life. Therefore, services will be flexible and be based on real life circumstances that the individual is likely to encounter in his/her daily life. Individuals will be encouraged to use or develop natural supports in the community and be integrated into the living, working, learning and leisure time activities of the community.

Disposition at Discharge 1/1/22 - 12/31/22

As a program, PRS strives towards discharging consumers as improved and stable. 43%, the majority of dispositions at discharge, were improved and stable.

Status	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Totals
Planned - partial completion of goals	1	1	0	2	2	4	2	1	1	1	2	4	21
Planned - Successful Completion of goals	3	2	2	0	1	2	2	3	0	3	0	0	18
Unplanned - consumer not attending or engaged in services	1	0	0	5	1	0	4	1	2	1	1	0	16
Consumer moved out of the area	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	5
Consumer transferred to LTSR	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Totals	5	4	3	8	5	6	8	5	4	5	4	5	62

Individual Records review

Glade Run PRS staff conduct a review of individual records of current clients that are being served. During reviews, the following areas are being evaluated to ensure compliance with regulations, as well as quality documentation: Initiation of Services, Strength Based Assessment, Individual Rehabilitation Treatment Plans, Crisis Plans, Documentation and Collaboration

Tools used for record review include the following EMR Reports: Progress Note Completion Reports, Timesheets, and Calendar Items without Timesheets. We also use an Excel tracking document to monitor and track review of encounter forms, strength-based assessments, and individual rehabilitation goals.

Glade Run staff reviewed 100% of active clients receiving service. During this time period, COVID 19 regulations suspensions were still in effect. However, we conducted the reviews to be compliant with PRS regulations.

During the individual record reviews, 100% of clients had a current written order for services. All clients also had a Strength Based Assessment, Individual Rehabilitation plan and Crisis/Safety Plan. During this year, the COVID timelines were extended and staff were compliant with meeting those timeframes. Progress notes documenting the date, times, and service were present for clients.

The feedback that was provided to staff related to record review was surrounding specific timely documentation. PRS staff are continuing to be coached on specific information to be included in progress notes, such as more individualized data section, more data collection, response to treatment in the assessment section, next steps/plan and consumer comments. Assessment tools were enhanced and a tracking tool was developed to ensure current data on consumer SBA, releases of information, IRP due dates, UR utilization, crisis plan, service type, and diagnosis. This tracking tool is to assist with timely and accurate consumer documentation. Individual record reviews will continue to be conducted on a quarterly basis by the PRS Program Coordinators.

Telehealth/Utilization

County	# of Group Encou nters	# of Group units	Unit average per encount er	# of Mobile Encoun ters	# of mobile units	Unit average per encount er	# of Telehealth encounters	# of telehealth units	Unit average per encount er
Beaver	4384	34504	7.87	707	4114	5.82	91	434	4.77
Butler	4077	33065	8.11	810	3823	4.72	8	41	5.13
Totals	8461	67569	8	1517	7937	5.23	99	475	4.80

County	% Group Encounters	% Group Units	% Mobile Encounters	% Mobile Units	% Telehealth Encounters	% Telehealth Units
Beaver	84%	88%	14%	11%	2%	1%
Butler	83%	89%	17%	10%	.16%	.11%
Combined	84%	89%	15%	10%	1%	1%

County	Total # of Consumers	Total # of Encounters	Average encounters per consumer	Total # of units	Average # of units per consumer
Beaver	63	5182	82	39052	620
Butler	79	4895	62	36929	467
Combined	142	10077	71	75981	535

The PRS team, as well as the Quality Improvement committee, reviews data related to the utilization of prescribed hours via the completed strength-based assessment PRS services. By looking at utilization of hours, the data helps guide staff through the assessment and treatment process when discussing treatment with clients and families. This information helps identify how the hours are being utilized and helps plan for transitioning of services and discharge. This data is reviewed on a monthly basis. The benchmark for PRS each month is 90%. The data above is a summary of the percentage for the year of 2021-2022.

Utilization was down slightly in 2021-2022 due to staff vacancy and transition of program leadership. Within this year, PRS onboarded 5 new staff (one of which left within the first 6 months) which takes over 5 months. Site based utilization is higher than mobile as site based is primarily based on groups. Therefore, there are more individuals being served at one time. Mobile sessions are primarily individual sessions. We see a higher use of mobile service in Beaver versus Butler; this is likely due to staffing as well. Beaver has one staff who primarily provides mobile services. Also, Butler was down staff the last quarter, limiting their availability for mobile services.

The use of Telehealth in PRS has decreased since its initial implementation in late winter of 2020. Telehealth is used on a limited basis and when it is in the best interest of the consumer as it continues to serve as a tool to provide continuity of care in certain circumstances. The PRS program recognizes that the main avenue of service delivery is face to face and this is the preferred method. Telehealth provides the consumer an option to still receive services in times of illness or extenuating circumstances. The use of an agency adopted Telehealth Assessment is used to determine the clinical appropriateness of using Telehealth.

Summary

The Glade Run PRS program has continued to be a supportive service in the mental/behavioral health community even while restructuring program implementation and staffing roles/responsibilities. Overall, PRS has been able to provide services to close to 150 adults. The program continues to collaborate with external agencies to ensure the needs of the adults are being met. PRS staff work to engage all who are receiving services and ensure the element of an all-inclusive environment. The program has also been able to successfully implement the Sanctuary model where consumers are providing observational feedback and applying the commitments within the program and community. Some quality initiatives have been identified through the annual report process and will be targeted in the next year. The review process has helped the program gain perspective on the successes that have been achieved and growth opportunities for the future.

Next Steps and Strategic Plan:

PRS has been increasingly serving dual-diagnosis individuals. This means clients having both MH and drug and alcohol needs. There is a reported lack of support that addresses the needs of this population and clients are prone to relapse for lack of combined support. PRS may consider developing a position focused on D&A and conduct a consumer survey.

The program will continue to identify and track the population of consumers with intellectual disabilities and LGBTQ+ and increase supports/services for this population.

PRS serves a high number of consumers on psychotropic medication and Beaver County does not have a mobile meds service option. A survey may be developed and conducted to determine need.

PRS will consider an innovative peer-delivered intervention (called PODS) aimed at enhancing community participation for adults with mental health diagnoses. PODS involves a group of consumers who work together to find common interests and then break out into smaller groups to pursue those interests, such as volunteering, gardening, farmers markets, etc. Group members will decide what they want to do, how often they want to do it, and will be responsible for figuring out how to actually do it (with support from the facilitator and peers).

Quality Initiative	Task	Responsible Party
Recovery Star data will be collected from intake and discharge and level of change will be analyzed.	 Develop assessment tools as data points to collect at intake, during treatment and at discharge. Review data at discharge for each consumer. Look at all data at least quarterly and suggest this be added as a QI committee indicator 	 PRS workers, coordinators, manager and director QI Committee
We will continue to strive to reduce inpatient admissions as well as staff turnover rates.	TBD	PRS workers, coordinators and Director
Develop and implement a formalized QI policy and procedures to specifically address monitoring tools to ensure regulatory compliance and quality service delivery.	 Develop plan Submit to EMT for feedback and approval Share with staff once approved 	PRS Director, Manager, Coordinators
Consumer chart audits will be completed in alignment with QI plan.	Utilize PRS client record review tool	Program Coordinators
All staff will have an Individualized Professional Development Plan that will outline action steps to support CPRP Certification.	 Review all staff's education and experience to determine CPRP eligibility. Each staff will be provided with training to ensure eligibility for CPRP certification Staff will be provided additional self-study opportunities to build confidence in passing the CPRP exam Staff's progress will be reviewed and documented through individual supervision 	Individual staff, Program Coordinators and Program Director
Consumer utilization of services will be monitored.	 Assessments will be utilized to determine consumers level of impairment, level of readiness for engagement in order to determine utilization goal. Monitoring tools will be developed to track consumer engagement to the level of need and readiness. 	Program Coordinators, Program Director